	A	Amulia ==4/=1	
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/888,890	HARKIN, PATRICK A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Antonio A Caschera	2676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	6 (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the control of the control of the community of the control of the co	nis application. If not included cation will be mailed in due cours	ie. <b>THIS</b> he initiative
1. A This communication is responsive to After-final Amendme	ent filed, 04/07/05.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>3-8,11-16,18,19,21-25,27,28,3</u>	30-36,41-52,54,55,57,58,60-63	<u>and 67-81</u> .	
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on 31 August 2001 are accepted by the	e Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u</li> <li>a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents hav</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application	No	rom the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the requirer	nents
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which given</li> </ol>	nitted. Note the attached EXAM ves reason(s) why the oath or d	IINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTIC eclaration is deficient.	E OF
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mu</li> <li>(a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper</li> <li>1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in</li> </ol>	rson's Patent Drawing Review ( r's Amendment / Comment or in 1.84(c)) should be written on the	the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back	() of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATER FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOL	RIAL must be submitted. Note to OGICAL MATERIAL.	the
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Sun Paper No./M /08), 7. Examiner's A	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152 nmary (PTO-413), ail Date mendment/Comment tatement of Reasons for Allowand	
•			

Application/Control Number: 09/888,890

Art Unit: 2676

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 3-8, 11-16, 18, 19, 21-25, 27, 28, 30-36, 41-52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 60-63 and 67-81 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In reference to claims 3 and 11, the prior art of record (Penna (U.S. Patent 6,222,556 B1), Takeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,198), Landau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,207 B1) and Baltaretu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,437,780 B1)) does not explicitly disclose evaluating a sign of the cross product term and the orientation variable to determine whether to cull the data prior to rendering an image of at least a portion of the digital object, in combination with the further limitations of claims 3 and 11 respectively.

In reference to claims 4-8 and 12-16, claims 4-8 and 12-16 depend upon allowable to claims 3 and 11 respectively and are therefore also deemed allowable.

In reference to claims 21 and 30, the prior art of record (Penna (U.S. Patent 6,222,556 B1), Takeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,198), Landau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,207 B1) and Baltaretu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,437,780 B1)) does not explicitly disclose determining the orientation of at least one polygon based on the sign of the cross produce term and the orientation decision variable, in combination with the further limitations of claims 21 and 30 respectively.

In reference to claims 18, 19 and 22-25, claims 18, 19 and 22-25 depend upon allowable to claim 21 and are therefore also deemed allowable.

Art Unit: 2676

In reference to claims 27, 28 and 31-34, claims 27, 28 and 31-34 depend upon allowable to claim 30 and are therefore also deemed allowable.

In reference to claim 35, the prior art of record (Penna (U.S. Patent 6,222,556 B1), Takeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,198), Landau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,207 B1) and Baltaretu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,437,780 B1)) does not explicitly disclose changing the sign of a cross-product term if the sign does not correspond to an actual orientation of a corresponding polygon, in combination with the further limitations of claim 35.

In reference to claims 36 and 41-48, claims 36 and 41-48 are dependent upon allowable claim 35 and are therefore also deemed allowable.

In reference to claim 49, the prior art of record (Penna (U.S. Patent 6,222,556 B1), Takeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,198), Landau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,207 B1) and Baltaretu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,437,780 B1)) does not explicitly disclose considering the orientation decision variable in determining whether the polygon is front facing or back facing based at least in part on an actual orientation of at least three vertices of the polygon, a sign of said cross product term and a sorted order of said at least the three vertices, in combination with the further limitations of claim 49.

In reference to claims 50-52, 54, 55, 57 and 58, claims 50-52, 54, 55, 57 and 58 are dependent upon allowable claim 49 and are therefore also deemed allowable.

In reference to claim 67, the prior art of record (Penna (U.S. Patent 6,222,556 B1), Takeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,198), Landau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,207 B1) and Baltaretu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,437,780 B1)) does not explicitly disclose determining whether a polygon's

Art Unit: 2676

orientation has changed evaluating the sign of a cross-product calculation and an orientation decision variable, in combination with the further limitations of claim 67.

In reference to claims 60-63 and 68, claims 60-63 and 68 are dependent upon allowable claim 67 and are therefore also deemed allowable.

In reference to claim 69, the prior art of record (Penna (U.S. Patent 6,222,556 B1), Takeda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,198), Landau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,207 B1) and Baltaretu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,437,780 B1)) does not explicitly disclose determining positional difference between adjacent vertices of each polygon following sorting the vertices and then determining a cross product term for each polygon from said positional differences, in combination with the further limitations of claim 69.

In reference to claims 70-81, claims 70-81 are dependent upon allowable claim 69 and are therefore also deemed allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

Applicant has amended several previously objected to dependent claims and has rewritten 2. them into independent form including all of the limitations of the claims from which they previously were dependent upon. In particular claims 3, 11, 21, 30 and 67 have been rewritten in independent form and all other claims have been rewritten to reflect dependencies on these

newly formed independent claims. Therefore, previous rejections based upon prior art have been withdrawn from these claims. Also, claims 35, 36, 41-52, 54, 55, 57, 58 and 69-81 were previously allowed making the application in condition for allowance.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached at (571) 272-7778.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2676

aac

4/12/05

Marker C. Bella

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600